

LAW OFFICES OF
McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100
FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM
SENDER'S E-MAIL: P MILLER @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER*
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER*
JAMES E. HOWARD*
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT*
*MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 09 2005

December 9, 2005

VIA FACSIMILE
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

To: Examiner Michael Kornakov
Group Art Unit No. 1746
U. S. P. T. O.

Facsimile No. 571-273-8300

From: Phillip E. Miller

Facsimile No. 703-761-2375


Re: Filing of Supplemental Response and Request for Reconsideration
U. S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/935,699
Our Ref: NGB.172

Dear Examiner:

Enclosed please find a Supplemental Response and Request for Reconsideration which supplements the Response and Request for Reconsideration filed herein on November 22, 2005, and which should place the above-referenced Application in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration of this case.

Very truly yours,



Phillip E. Miller

PEM/lmb
Enclosure

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Serial No. 09/935,699
Docket No. T36-135964M/RS

1

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 09 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Uemura et al.

Serial No.: 09/935,699 Group Art Unit: 1733
Filed: August 24, 2001 Examiner: Kornakov, Michail

For: METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING A GROUP III NITRIDE COMPOUND
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Box AF

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE AND
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

In supplement to the Response and Request for Reconsideration filed herein on November 22, 2005, and in response to the Advisory Action dated December 6, 2005, Applicant states as follows:

REMARKS

I. Claims 21 and 38

Applicant notes that lines 24-26 on page 2 of Applicant's Response and Request for Reconsideration filed on November 22, 2005 incorrectly indicated that claims 21 and 38 recited "*wherein said group III nitride compound semiconductor comprises a p-type group III nitride compound semiconductor*". Applicant would point out that **this limitation is not recited in claims 21 and 38, and the claimed invention of claims 21 and 38 should in no manner be limited to include this limitation.**

Instead, the Response and Request for Reconsideration should have read that Maniar